

FIXED POINT THEOREMS OF ĆIRIĆ-MATKOWSKI TYPE IN GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

MORTAZA ABTAHI

ABSTRACT. A self-map T of a ν -generalized metric space (X, d) is said to be a Ćirić-Matkowski contraction if $d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$, for $x \neq y$, and, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta + \epsilon$ implies $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \epsilon$. In this paper, fixed point theorems for this kind of contractions of ν -generalized metric spaces, are presented. Then, by replacing the distance function $d(x, y)$ with functions of the form $m(x, y) = d(x, y) + \gamma(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty))$, where $\gamma > 0$, results analogue to those due to P.D. Proiniv (Fixed point theorems in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 46 (2006) 546–557) are obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, the set of integers is denoted by \mathbb{Z} , the set of nonnegative integers is denoted by \mathbb{Z}^+ , and the set of positive integers is denoted by \mathbb{N} .

Fixed point theory in metric spaces have many applications. It is natural that there have been several attempts to extend it to a more general setting. One of these generalizations was introduced by Branciari in 2000, where the triangle inequality was replaced by a so-called *quadrilateral inequality*. They introduced the concept of ν -generalized metric spaces as follows; see also [2, 5, 8, 15].

Definiton 1.1 (Branciari [3]). Let X be a nonvoid set and $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (X, d) is called a ν -generalized metric space if the following hold:

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, for every $x, y \in X$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, for every $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, u_1) + d(u_1, u_2) + \cdots + d(u_\nu, y)$, for every set $\{x, u_1, \dots, u_\nu, y\}$ of $\nu + 2$ elements of X that are all different.

Obviously, (X, d) is a metric space if and only if it is a 1-generalized metric space. In [2], the completeness of ν -generalized metric spaces are discussed. In [14], it is shown that not every generalized metric space has the compatible topology.

Definiton 1.2. Let (X, d) be a ν -generalized metric space. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be k -Cauchy if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{d(x_n, x_{n+1+mk}) : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = 0. \quad (1.1)$$

The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be *Cauchy* if it is 1-Cauchy.

The concept of Cauchy sequences in ν -generalized metric spaces are studied in [2, 15]; see also [3].

Proposition 1.3 ([2] and [15]). *Let (X, d) be a ν -generalized metric space and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that x_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are all different. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is ν -Cauchy. If ν is odd, or if ν is even and $d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \rightarrow 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy.*

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a ν -generalized metric space (X, d) is said to *converge* to x if $d(x, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to *converge to x in the strong sense* if $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy and $\{x_n\}$ converges to x . The space X is said to be *complete* if every Cauchy sequence in X converges.

Proposition 1.4 ([15]). *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be sequences in X that converge to x and y in the strong sense, respectively. Then*

$$d(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y_n).$$

Branciari, in [1], proved a generalization of the Banach contraction principle. As it is mentioned in [2], their proof is not correct because a ν -generalized metric space does not necessarily have the compatible topology; see [6], [12, 13, 14] and [16]. A proof of the Banach contraction principle, as well as proofs of Kannan's and Ćirić's fixed point theorems, in ν -generalized metric spaces, can be found in [15].

Theorem 1.5 ([15]). *Let X be a complete ν -generalized metric space, and let T be a self-map of X . For every $x, y \in X$, let*

$$m(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Assume there exists $r \in [0, 1)$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq rm(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point z and, moreover, for any $x \in X$, the Picard iterates $T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) converge to z in the strong sense.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we study Cauchy sequences in ν -generalized metric spaces. We present a necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence to be Cauchy. Next, in section 3, we give new fixed point theorems in ν -generalized metric spaces. These results are generalizations to ν -generalized metric spaces of theorems of Meir and Keeler [10], Ćirić [4] and Matkowski [9, Theorem 1.5.1], and Proinov [11].

2. RESULTS ON CAUCHY SEQUENCES

The following is the main result of the section.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a ν -generalized metric space X such that x_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are all different. Suppose, for every $\epsilon > 0$, for any two subsequences $\{x_{p_i}\}$ and $\{x_{q_i}\}$, if $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{p_i}, x_{q_i}) \leq \epsilon$, then, for some N ,*

$$d(x_{p_{i+1}}, x_{q_{i+1}}) \leq \epsilon \quad (i \geq N). \quad (2.1)$$

If $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ is ν -Cauchy.

Proof. Suppose $\{x_n\}$ is not ν -Cauchy. Then (1.1) fails to hold for $k = \nu$. Hence, there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \geq k, \sup\{d(x_n, x_{n+1+m\nu}) : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} > \epsilon. \quad (2.2)$$

Since $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$, there exist positive integers $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \epsilon/i \quad (n \geq k_i).$$

For each k_i , by (2.2), there exist $n_i \geq k_i + 1$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that

$$d(x_{n_i}, x_{n_i+1+m_i\nu}) > \epsilon.$$

Since $d(x_{n_i}, x_{n_i+1}) < \epsilon$, we have $m_i \geq 1$. We let m_i be the smallest number with this property so that $d(x_{n_i}, x_{n_i+1+m_i\nu-\nu}) \leq \epsilon$. Now, let $p_i = n_i - 1$ and $q_i = n_i + m_i\nu$. Then $q_i > p_i \geq k_i$, and

$$d(x_{p_i+1}, x_{q_i+1}) > \epsilon, \quad d(x_{p_i+1}, x_{q_i+1-\nu}) \leq \epsilon.$$

Using property (3) in Definition 1.1, since all x_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are different, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{p_i}, x_{q_i}) &\leq d(x_{p_i}, x_{p_i+1}) + d(x_{p_i+1}, x_{q_i+1-\nu}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{q_i+1-\nu}, x_{q_i-\nu}) + \cdots + d(x_{q_i-1}, x_{q_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x_{p_i}, x_{q_i}) \leq \nu\epsilon/i + \epsilon$, and thus $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{p_i}, x_{q_i}) \leq \epsilon$. This is a contradiction, since $d(x_{p_i+1}, x_{q_i+1}) > \epsilon$, for all i . \square

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose $\{x_n\}$ satisfies all conditions in Lemma 2.1, and, moreover, $d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \rightarrow 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is ν -Cauchy. Since $d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \rightarrow 0$, by Proposition 1.3, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that x_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are all different and $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+2}) \rightarrow 0$. Assume $m(x, y)$ is a nonnegative function on $X \times X$ such that, for any two subsequences $\{x_{p_i}\}$ and $\{x_{q_i}\}$,*

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} m(x_{p_i}, x_{q_i}) \leq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{p_i}, x_{q_i}). \quad (2.3)$$

The following condition then implies that $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy: for every $\epsilon > 0$, for any two subsequences $\{x_{p_i}\}$ and $\{x_{q_i}\}$, if $\limsup m(x_{p_i}, x_{q_i}) \leq \epsilon$, then, for some N ,

$$d(x_{p_i+1}, x_{q_i+1}) \leq \epsilon \quad (i \geq N).$$

Proof. Follows directly from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.3. \square

3. FIXED POINT THEOREMS OF ĆIRIĆ-MATKOWSKI TYPE

Let (X, d) be a ν -generalized metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a *Ćirić-Matkowski contraction* if $d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$, for every $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$, and, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad d(x, y) < \delta + \epsilon \implies d(Tx, Ty) \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1 ([1, Lemma 3.1]). *For a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X and a nonnegative function $m(x, y)$ on $X \times X$, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that*

$$\forall p, q \geq N, \quad m(x_p, x_q) < \epsilon + \delta \implies d(x_{p+1}, x_{q+1}) \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

(ii) *for every $\epsilon > 0$, for any two subsequences $\{x_{p_i}\}$ and $\{x_{q_i}\}$, if $\limsup m(x_{p_i}, x_{q_i}) \leq \epsilon$ then, for some N , $d(x_{p_i+1}, x_{q_i+1}) \leq \epsilon$ ($i \geq N$).*

Now, suppose T is a Ćirić-Matkowski contraction on X , take a point $x \in X$, and set $x_n = T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x_p, x_q) < \epsilon + \delta$ implies $d(x_{p+1}, x_{q+1}) \leq \epsilon$. By the above lemma,

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \rightarrow 0$, for some $x \in X$. Then, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, either the picard iterates $T^n x$ ($n \geq k$) are all different or they are all the same.*

Proof. Suppose $T^{k+m} x = T^k x$, for some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let m be the smallest positive integer with this property. If $m = 1$, that is $T^{k+1} x = T^k x$, then $T^n x = T^k x$, for $n \geq k$, and there is nothing to prove. If $m \geq 2$, then every two successive element in the following sequence are different:

$$T^k x, T^{k+1} x, \dots, T^{k+m-1} x, T^{k+m} x, T^{k+m+1} x, \dots$$

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let T be a self-map of X and $m(x, y)$ be a nonnegative function on $X \times X$. Suppose, for some point $x \in X$, the following conditions hold:*

(i) *for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that*

$$\forall p, q \geq N, \quad m(T^p x, T^q x) < \delta + \epsilon \implies d(T^{p+1} x, T^{q+1} x) \leq \epsilon, \quad (3.3)$$

(ii) *condition (2.3) holds for any two subsequences $\{T^{p_i} x\}$ and $\{T^{q_i} x\}$ of $\{T^n x\}$,*
 (iii) *$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + d(T^n x, T^{n+2} x) \rightarrow 0$.*

Then $\{T^n x\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.1, condition (3.3) implies that, for every $\epsilon > 0$, for any two subsequences $\{T^{p_i} x\}$ and $\{T^{q_i} x\}$ of $\{T^n x\}$, if $\limsup m(T^{p_i} x, T^{q_i} x) \leq \epsilon$ then, for some N , $d(T^{p_i+1} x, T^{q_i+1} x) \leq \epsilon$ ($i \geq N$). By Lemma 3.2, the Picard iterates $T^n x$ are eventually all the same, in which case $\{T^n x\}$ is obviously a Cauchy sequence, or they are all different. In the latter case, Theorem 2.3 shows that $\{T^n x\}$ is Cauchy. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let T be a Ćirić-Matkowski contraction on X . Then T has a unique fixed point z , and, moreover, for any $x \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ converges to z in the strong sense.*

Proof. First, we show that T has at most one fixed point. Suppose $Tz = z$ and $y \neq z$. Then $d(Ty, Tz) = d(Ty, z) < d(y, z)$. Hence $Ty \neq y$.

Given $x \in X$, we consider the following two cases.

- (a) There exists $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{k+m} x = T^k x$.
- (b) $T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are all different.

In case (a), where $T^{k+m} x = T^k x$, for some $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we let m be the smallest positive integer with this property. If $m = 1$, that is $T^{k+1} x = T^k x$, then $T^n x = T^k x$, for $n \geq k$, and there is nothing to prove. If $m \geq 2$, then every two successive element in the following sequence are different:

$$T^k x, T^{k+1} x, \dots, T^{k+m-1} x, T^{k+m} x, T^{k+m+1} x, \dots$$

Recall that $x \neq y$ implies $d(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^k x, T^{k+1} x) &= d(T^{k+m} x, T^{k+m+1} x) < d(T^{k+m-1} x, T^{k+m} x) \\ &< \dots < d(T^{k+1} x, T^{k+2} x) < d(T^k x, T^{k+1} x). \end{aligned}$$

This is absurd.

In case (b), we let $x_n = T^n x$, and show that $d(x_n, x_{n+i}) \rightarrow 0$, for $i = 1, 2$. Since x_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are all different, we have $d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+i+1}) < d(x_n, x_{n+i})$, for every n , that is, the sequence $\epsilon_n = d(x_n, x_{n+i})$ is decreasing and thus $\epsilon_n \downarrow \epsilon$ for some

$\epsilon \geq 0$. If $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\epsilon_n = d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq \epsilon + \delta$ implies that $\epsilon_{n+1} = d(T^{n+1} x, T^{n+2} x) \leq \epsilon$. This is a contradiction since we have $\epsilon < \epsilon_n$, for all n . Hence, $d(x_n, x_{n+i}) \rightarrow 0$ ($i = 1, 2$). Now, by Theorem 3.3, the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ is Cauchy. Since X is complete, $\{T^n x\}$ converges to some $z \in X$. By Proposition 1.4, we have

$$d(z, Tz) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, Tz) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^{n-1} x, z) = 0.$$

Hence $Tz = z$, i.e., z is a fixed point of T . \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a ν -generalized metric space X such that x_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) are all different. If $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \rightarrow 0$, then*

$$d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \rightarrow 0, \quad (m \geq 3).$$

Definiton 3.6. A self-mapping T of a ν -generalized metric space X is said to be *sequentially continuous* if $\{Tx_n\}$ converges to Tx whenever $\{x_n\}$ converges to x . The mapping T is called *asymptotically regular* if

$$d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) + d(T^n x, T^{n+2} x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (x \in X).$$

We are now in a position to state and prove a version of Proinov's theorem, [11, Theorem 4.2], for ν -generalized metric spaces.

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be a complete ν -generalized metric space, and T be a sequentially continuous and asymptotically regular self-map of X . For $\gamma > 0$, define m on $X \times X$ by*

$$m(x, y) = d(x, y) + \gamma(d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty)). \quad (3.4)$$

Suppose $d(Tx, Ty) < m(x, y)$, for every $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$, and, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that

$$\forall x, y \in X, \quad m(T^N x, T^N y) < \delta + \epsilon \implies d(T^{N+1} x, T^{N+1} y) \leq \epsilon. \quad (3.5)$$

Then T has a unique fixed point z , and, for any $x \in X$, the Picard iterates $T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) converge to z in the strong sense.

Proof. First, let us prove that T has at most one fixed point. If $Ty = y$ and $Tz = z$. Then $m(y, z) = d(y, z) = d(Ty, Tz)$. Hence $y = z$.

Now, choose $x \in X$ and set $x_n = T^n x$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). Since T is assumed to be asymptotically regular, we have $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$. Hence, (2.3) holds, for any two subsequences $\{x_{p_i}\}$ and $\{x_{q_i}\}$. By Theorem 2.3, the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ is Cauchy and, since X is complete, it converges to some point $z \in X$. Since T is sequentially continuous, we have $Tz = z$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abtahi, *Fixed point theorems for Meir-Keeler type contractions in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory (to appear).
- [2] B. Alamri, T. Suzuki and L.A. Khan, *Caristi's Fixed Point Theorem and Subrahmanyam's Fixed Point Theorem in ν -Generalized Metric Spaces*, Journal of Function Spaces, 2015, Article ID 709391.
- [3] A. Branciari, *A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces*, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, 57 (2000) 31–37.
- [4] Lj. B. Ćirić, *A new fixed-point theorem for contractive mappings*, Publ. Inst. Math. (N.S.) **30** (44) (1981), 25–27.
- [5] Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, *On generalized metric spaces: A survey*, TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math., 5 (2014), 3–13.

- [6] Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenović, *Fixed point results in generalized metric spaces without Hausdorff property*, Mathematical Sciences, vol. 8, article 125, 2014.
- [7] R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points-II*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 76 (1969), 405–408.
- [8] W. A. Kirk and N. Shahzad, *Generalized metrics and Caristi's theorem*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013, 2013:129.
- [9] M. Kuczma, B. Choczewski, R. Ger, *Iterative functional equations*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Applications*, vol. 32, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [10] A. Meir, E. Keeler, *A theorem on contraction mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **28** (1969), 326–329.
- [11] Petko D. Proinov, *Fixed point theorems in metric spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. 64 (2006), 546–557.
- [12] B. Samet, *Discussion on 'a fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces' by A. Branciari*, Publicationes Mathematicae, vol. 76, no. 4, pp. 493–494, 2010.
- [13] I.R. Sarma, J.M. Rao, S.S. Rao, *Contractions over generalized metric spaces*, Journal of Nonlinear Science and its Applications, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 180–182, 2009.
- [14] T. Suzuki, *Generalized metric spaces do not have the compatible topology*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2014, Art. ID 458098, 5 pp.
- [15] T Suzuki, B Alamri and L.A. Khan, *Some notes on fixed point theorems in ν -generalized metric spaces*, Bull. Kyushu Inst. Tech. Pure Appl. Math. 62 (2015), 15–23.
- [16] M. Turinici, *Functional contractions in local Branciari metric spaces*, ROMAI Journal, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 189–2012.